

5 DATA-BACKED TRAITS OF A **GRADE A** INVESTMENT PROPERTY FOR LOGICAL PROPERTY INVESTORS



VINOLIA SIM

INTRODUCTION

Most people think a good property decision comes down to buying near an MRT, choosing a popular district, or getting a decent discount at launch. But after studying thousands of transactions and watching how real buyers make or lose money, a different pattern emerges: the best-performing property decisions are usually made before the purchase, when the numbers are still quiet and the emotions have not taken over yet.

In other words, property investment is less about what you eventually buy, and more about how you decide. The clients who end up with six-figure gains are rarely the ones who queue the earliest at show flats or chase every "hot" project. They are the ones who walk in with a clear framework: what they are solving for, what numbers must make sense, and what they are prepared to walk away from if those numbers don't line up.

This guide is written for that kind of buyer — the logical property investor. Someone who still cares about lifestyle and liveability, but refuses to let "nice view", "MRT across the road", or "everyone says confirm can make" be the main reason for a million-dollar decision. If that sounds like you, think of this less as a brochure, and more as a playbook you can reuse every time you buy, sell, or upgrade a home.

You'll see that many of the traditional rules — always buy near MRT, always choose freehold, always go for popular districts — start to break down once you look at entry price, future supply, and actual resale results. Some "ulu" condos with weaker branding have quietly outperformed headline launches, while some supposedly "prime" locations have delivered flat or even negative returns for owners who paid too much on day one.

This guide breaks down five data-backed traits that keep showing up in Grade A investment properties in Singapore. Not the perfect property, not the cheapest property, but the kind that balances risk, upside, and liveability in a way that stacks the odds in your favour. Some of these traits will feel counterintuitive at first. But once you see how they play out in real transactions, they give you a much calmer, more grounded way to make property decisions — before the hype starts, before the crowd shows up, and before your emotions take over.



Many buyers still feel safer with boutique projects. The logic sounds simple: fewer units mean less competition, more exclusivity, and stronger resale value. On paper, that feels intuitive. In real transactions, however, the market does not always reward small developments in the way buyers expect.

Why Larger Developments Can Outperform

When the goal is investment performance, development size matters because it affects transaction volume, and transaction volume shapes price behaviour over time.

- Larger developments naturally generate more resale activity over the years as more owners enter and exit.
- More transactions create clear and frequent price benchmarks at different sizes and facing stacks.
- These benchmarks make it easier for future sellers to justify a higher asking price, because buyers can see recent evidence of what others are willing to pay.

In other words, bigger projects tend to "tell a clearer price story" to the market. That price story is what future buyers and agents rely on when deciding whether your asking price is fair.

Boutique vs. Big Development

To see how this plays out, compare a small boutique project with a mega development.

- In a boutique development with around 50 to 100 units, you may only see 2 to 3 transactions in a typical year.
- That level of activity is usually not enough to create strong upward price momentum, because there are fewer data points for valuers, agents, and buyers to use.
- In a big development with more units, you might see 150 to 200 transactions in a year.
- This constant flow of deals creates a self-reinforcing cycle: every new record sale sets a higher benchmark, and those benchmarks become reference points for the next round of buyers.

Instead of worrying that "more units mean more competition", a logical investor should ask: "Does the size of this development create enough transaction energy for prices to climb in a visible, defensible way over time?"

CASE STUDY

Suites at Paya Lebar vs The Minton

- › Both projects in the Paya Lebar / Hougang area — similar price points, different outcomes.
- › Suites at Paya Lebar: Freehold, boutique (99 units), 2BR ~\$850,000
- › The Minton: 99-year leasehold, mega dev (1,145 units), 2BR also ~\$850,000
- › Average profit at The Minton: ~\$294,000
- › Average profit at Suites at Paya Lebar: ~\$140,000
- › The Minton delivered ~double the profit — despite being leasehold and much larger.

Why The Minton Outperformed

- The Minton generated far more resale transactions over time, creating steady price benchmarks that pulled values upward as new buyers accepted higher prices.
- Its scale allowed full facilities and a broad range of unit types, widening appeal to couples, young families, and upgraders — supporting stronger resale demand.
- Suites at Paya Lebar, despite its freehold status, had a thinner resale market, limiting how aggressively owners could push their asking prices.

KEY LESSON FOR LOGICAL INVESTORS

Freehold by itself is not a guarantee of better investment results. When a small freehold project and a large leasehold project launch at similar prices, the development with stronger transaction momentum and broader resale demand can still end up producing larger gains at exit.



If there is one place where a property investment is won or lost, it is at entry. Most buyers only ask whether a unit is "expensive" compared to nearby projects. The better question is whether the price already bakes in too much of the future upside before the buyer has even moved in.

Why Breakeven Price Matters & How to Calculate It

A launch price is not plucked from thin air. It is built from land cost, construction cost, financing, marketing, and the developer's profit margin. When the launch price sits too far above breakeven, buyers may be paying today for appreciation that has not yet happened.

Margin Analysis

When you look across projects, a clear pattern appears:

- Projects with margins above roughly 30% tend to see only about 10 to 15% appreciation over the first 5 to 7 years.
- Projects with margins closer to 15 to 20% often see 30 to 40% appreciation over the same period.

In simple terms: the more future growth the developer has already priced in, the less is left on the table for you.

A Simple Way to Analyse Entry Price

For any new launch, start with four questions:

- What did the developer pay for the land?
- What is the estimated breakeven after construction, financing, and marketing?
- How much margin is layered on top of that breakeven?
- Is the launch price much higher than, similar to, or lower than the pricing level in the surrounding area or region?

That last question is crucial. Even if the margin above breakeven is not extreme, a project can still struggle to outperform if it launches far above what the surrounding market is used to paying. The larger the starting gap, the harder it is to deliver strong outperformance later.

CASE STUDY

ClementiWoods vs Sengkang Grand Residences

- > ClementiWoods (2008): Launch price ~\$500–600 PSF – in line with surrounding West Coast region.
- > Result: Prices rose by ~138% | Average gain ~\$687,000 | Highest gain ~\$1.684M
- > Sengkang Grand Residences (2019): Launch price ~\$1,717 PSF vs surrounding OCR ~\$1,222 PSF.
- > That's a ~43% premium above the surrounding region at entry.
- > Buyers still made money, but average profit was only ~\$250,000 – capped by the aggressive entry premium.

Why This Comparison Matters

- Clementi Woods started close to its surrounding market, so upside came from the project being gradually repriced higher.
- Sengkang Grand started with a big premium, so much of the "growth" was already collected by the developer at launch.
- Both projects made money, but the one that entered more reasonably relative to its region created far better upside for its owners.

KEY LESSON FOR LOGICAL INVESTORS

For a Grade A investment, entry price is not just about whether a unit feels expensive — it's whether too much of the future growth has already been priced in. A project that launches close to its breakeven and in line with its surrounding market often gives investors far more upside than a "hot" launch that starts 30 to 40% above the neighbourhood, even if both eventually make money.



This is one of the most useful filters in the framework, especially when choosing between a shiny new launch and an older resale condo nearby. The instinct is to assume the newer one must be the better investment. But a new launch only works when its premium over surrounding resale stock is still reasonable — both in PSF and in total quantum.

The Key Idea

Do not just ask: "Which one is cheaper PSF?"

Also ask: "Which one can grow my budget more meaningfully, and which one has a stronger future buyer pool at my exit quantum?"

CASE STUDY

JadeScape vs Seasons Park

- › JadeScape launched at ~\$1,742 PSF in September 2018.
- › Nearby Seasons Park (TOP 2000) was transacting at ~\$1,021 PSF — cheaper on paper.
- › Over the next four years: JadeScape grew ~32.6% | Seasons Park grew ~7.4%.
- › With a similar ~\$2.8M capital base: JadeScape produced ~\$908K upside vs ~\$207K — a \$700K+ difference.

Why PSF Alone Is Misleading

Most buyers are trained to hunt for the lowest PSF. PSF is useful, but only as a comparison tool. By itself, it only tells you how cheap or expensive something looks on paper — not how much money your capital can actually make.

Three big problems with relying only on PSF:

- PSF ignores growth rate: how fast the asset is likely to appreciate from your entry point.
- PSF ignores quantum and buyer pool: whether future buyers can still afford your exit price.
- PSF ignores positioning and product: the age, layout efficiency, facilities, and whether the project can become the benchmark in its micro-market.

A better way to think about it:

- PSF helps you compare across projects and unit types.
- Quantum determines whether the next buyer can still support your exit price.
- Growth rate determines how much profit your capital can generate over your holding period.

KEY LESSON FOR LOGICAL INVESTORS

Do not stop at asking which project is cheaper in PSF terms. The better question is which option can grow your capital more meaningfully at a quantum the next buyer can realistically afford. A newer launch with a higher PSF can still be the superior investment if it becomes the area's benchmark, delivers stronger growth, and gives you a deeper pool of future buyers at your eventual exit price.

There is a lot of talk about "first-mover advantage" in new launches. Some buyers feel pressured to ballot for every new launch, as if being early automatically means being smart. In reality, first-mover advantage only matters if the project itself is worth moving early for.

What First-Mover Advantage Really Means

- It is not about buying the fastest.
- It is about entering before later price rounds push the benchmark higher.
- In many launches, developers stage prices upwards over time as they sell more units and build confidence.
- Buyers who enter in the earlier phases can lock in a lower price, while later buyers in the same development may pay significantly more for similar stacks.

This is why two owners in the same project, with similar units, can end up with very different profits at exit: one entered at an early, sensible price; the other bought later after multiple rounds of price increases.

What It Is Not

A buyer who rushes into a badly priced project on launch weekend is not getting first-mover advantage. That buyer is simply overpaying earlier than everyone else. Early does not equal cheap, and early does not equal safe. If the starting price is already aggressive relative to breakeven, surrounding resale, and future demand, "being first" just locks in a bad entry sooner.

A Better Way to Use It

- Move early only when the project fundamentals are strong: reasonable developer margins, a sensible gap versus nearby resale, and a clear story for future buyer demand.
- Pay attention to the developer's pricing strategy. If the early phases are clearly more attractive and the product is solid, using first-mover advantage to secure a better entry price can tilt profits in your favour later.
- Prioritise the right unit type and stack. A good early price on a poor-facing or awkward layout may still struggle at resale.
- Always ask: at my entry price, can the next buyer still reasonably afford to pay more when I sell? If the answer is weak, being early won't save the deal.

KEY LESSON FOR LOGICAL INVESTORS

First-mover advantage is not about bragging rights for buying on day one — it is about locking in a sensible early price in a fundamentally strong project before developer price staging and market demand push benchmarks higher. That is how two owners in the same development can walk away with very different gains.

Transformation is one of the most misunderstood ideas in property. It is easy to get excited about a future MRT line, a new integrated development, or a town that is "about to change". But transformation should never be the main reason to buy. It should be treated as a bonus factor that strengthens an already sound purchase — not the foundation of the decision.

First Principle

The base numbers must already make sense on their own:

- Entry price should be supportable relative to breakeven and surrounding resale.
- Resale gap should be reasonable, not wildly ahead of the neighbourhood.
- Quantum should be absorbable by the next buyer pool at your exit.
- If these are weak, transformation alone will not save the deal.

A logical investor first checks that the property stands on its own merits. Only after that does transformation come in as an extra layer of upside.

What Counts as Real Transformation

A proper transformation case usually has three elements:

- A real catalyst — a funded MRT line, an integrated transport hub, or a confirmed mixed-use node.
- A timeline that fits the intended holding period, not something that might materialise decades later.
- A visible reason to believe the area will be repriced because of that specific change, beyond general market growth.

Without these, "transformation" is just a story, not an investment factor.

CASE STUDY

Blossoms @ Woodleigh — Long-Term, Not Short-Term

- › Price history: mid-\$600 PSF in the mid-2000s → above \$2,000 PSF in recent years.
- › The Bidadari Master Plan was only unveiled in 2014, followed by BTO launches, Woodleigh Village, Woodleigh Residences (2018), and the underground bus interchange.
- › Biggest gains built up over a long, multi-cycle period — not a short 3-to-5-year flip.
- › Not an example of 'buy now, flip on the next headline' — catalysts were not visible in 2007–2008.

KEY LESSON FOR LOGICAL INVESTORS

Transformation should be treated as a bonus, not a crutch. A Grade A investment already works on entry price, gap, quantum, and demand before any "future story" is added. Real transformation can amplify a solid decision over a full cycle, but it rarely rescues a weak one — and it almost never delivers instant profits just because a new line or mall is announced.

BRINGING IT ALL TOGETHER

A good property investment almost never comes from one magic ingredient. It comes from several quiet advantages lining up at the same time. When these five traits stack together, the result is not just a comfortable home, but a property that has a real shot at compounding your wealth over a full cycle.

Applied consistently, this framework has helped our investment clients achieve an average return of around 19.5% per annum — not because they "hacked" the market, but because they entered with discipline instead of emotion.

THE FRAMEWORK	WHAT IT TELLS YOU
01 Size of Development	Creates transaction momentum — the engine that powers visible price growth over time.
02 Entry Price	Protects your upside. If you don't win at entry, everything else has to work perfectly just to catch up.
03 Resale Gap	Tells you if the premium is still reasonable. A launch too far ahead of neighbours leaves little room for outperformance.
04 First-Mover Advantage	Only helps in a fundamentally sound project. Being early into a bad deal is not an advantage — just a faster way to overpay.
05 Transformation	A bonus, not a rescue plan. Future stories can amplify a good decision, but rarely save a weak one.

This is why the framework matters. It gives logical investors a way to slow down, cut through noise, and ask sharper questions about how a property will behave over time — not just how it feels in the showflat. When you stack these traits together, you are no longer gambling on the next hot launch; you are deliberately building a portfolio where each unit has a clear, data-backed reason to grow your capital.



READY TO INVEST WITH CLARITY?

Apply this framework to your next property decision —
with the guidance of a logical, data-driven advisor.

Let's run the numbers together.

Scan the QR code below or tap the link to connect with Vinolia Sim directly on WhatsApp:



Vinolia Sim

Property Investment Advisor | Singapore

+65 9723 9245

Helping logical investors build wealth
through data-backed property decisions.